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### GENERAL COMMENTS
1. The MGA is a not-for-profit incorporated cross-campus student association. Our constituents are all Australian enrolled graduate students at Monash University.
2. The MGA welcomes a consolidated, centralised transparent and advertised academic integrity register.
3. We provide this feedback on the basis of having no access to internal University operational systems.

### “SCOPE” AND “MISCONDUCT RULES”
1. CE’s have investigative and limited decision-making powers and need to be mentioned here.
2. *Are cases of suspected breach (which is not referred to RO) of academic integrity reported and recorded on this register?* If yes, this should be clearly stated.
3. Page 3 of the draft rules provides that “The official register is the record of findings of misconduct and warnings.” *What is considered a “finding” and will a warning necessarily follow a “finding”?* Can you have a “finding” without a “warning”? Can a ‘finding’ be a “suspected finding”? Can a “finding” determine there was no breach and if so, will this be recorded? It would be good to have some explanation.
4. Notices of allegations are on the register for reporting purposes, findings of guilt are also on the register. *What about all the ‘in between’ cases?* For example, CEs conduct preliminary investigations. *Are these investigations (suspected breaches) reported even if the matter is not progressed further?*

### “ACCESS TO REGISTER”
1. *Will there be a list of Academic Integrity Officers on-line?* Students often have queries about being able to access information (about them) on the
And “SEARCHING THE REGISTER”

register and it would be helpful to have a known point of contact.

2. **What is an ‘acceptable use’ of this register?** On what grounds is access granted and approved? It would be very helpful for students to understand that access is limited to those staff associated with the discipline process and not the APC process, for example.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>“REQUESTING ACCESS”</th>
<th>Does this section allow access by students, or their advocates with the student’s permission?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>“STRUCTURE OF REGISTER”</td>
<td>For reporting purposes there should be a split between graduate and undergraduate student statistics.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MGA GENERAL COMMENTS &amp; RECOMMENDATIONS</td>
<td>It would be good to refer all students to their respective student associations in the event they have queries about the register. MGA contact details: <a href="mailto:mga-advocacy@monash.edu">mga-advocacy@monash.edu</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>